(3)

(1)

C.S. 102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PET NO. 2684/2004

PETITIONER

Narbada Singh, aged about 45 years, son of Late Shri Sardar Singh, Gram Sahayak, Gram Panchayat – Jainagar, Janpad Panchayat – Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.)

P. R. No. 24 Cal/04
Presented by Shri Rahul Tiwari
dated 18-8-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through : The Secretary, Department of Panchayat, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2. The Director (Panchayat), Department of Panchayat - Raipur (CG)

3. The District Collector, Distt. Surguja, Ambikapur (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Deputy Director, Zeela Panchayat, Surguja, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zeela Panchayat – Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk 18/08/04
H.C.G. Bilaspur

12/8/04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS.

(16)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP NO. 2684/2004 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

26-8-2004

On a mention being made, this case is taken up.

Petitioner/s by Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate.

State by Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General, with Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.G.A., and Shri Akhil Agrawal and Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyers.

Heard.

The matter relates to transfer of the petitioner from the respective place.

Counsel for the petitioner/s submitted that the transfer of the petitioner/s has been effected illegally in contravention of law and policy. State counsel opposed it.

State counsel submitted that the petitioner/s has straightway filed the petition without serving notice for demand of justice and representation.

Having heard and considered the rival contentions, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner/s to make detailed representation raising his/her grievances and grounds before the State Government/competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the State Government/competent authority of the respondents, under the law, shall consider and decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of the representation. If any contravention of policy or guidelines is brought to the notice, the same be considered on its own merits.

Counsel for the petitioner/s prays that after the decision of the representation if any grievance of the petitioner/s remains, he/she may be allowed to avail the remedy available under the law. It is open to the petitioner to avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P., if any, also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Copy to State counsel for compliance.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

H/-

26.8.04

Received copy ceham
26/8/04 Dt 6/9/04